1 2	Date:	November 13, 2014	0000158	030		
3	To:	Docket Control		RECEIVED		
4		Arizona Corpora	tion Commi	SSION NOV THE A IL:	27	
5			1200 West Washington St.			
6		Phoenix, AZ 850	•	AZ CORP COMMISSI DOCKET CONTROL		
7		,				
8	From:	Robert T. Hardcastle	ORIG	INAL		
9		Brooke Utilities, Inc.		Q Pleasery		
10		•				
11	FOR FII	ING ORIGINAL AND 13 COPIES INTO:				
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	By:	DOCKET NO. W-03: IN THE MATTER OF THE INC., AN ARIZONA CONTHE FAIR VALUE OF IT AND FOR INCREASES UTILITY SERVICE BASE Robert T. Hardcastle	HE APPLICATION ORPORATION, FO TS UTILITY PLA IN ITS WATER R	OR A DETERMINATION OF AND PROPERTY	ON OF	
24 25 26			Arizona D (Corporation Commission OCKETED		
				NOV 1 4 2014		
			ססם	KETED BY		

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
2	2				
3	3 Robert T. Hardcastle RECEI	VFD			
4	4 P.O. Box 82218				
5	5 Bakersfield, CA 93380-2218 2014 NOV 14	A 11: 27			
6	6 Representing Itself In Propia Persona				
7	7 CONTRACTOR AZ CORP CO DOCKET O	ONTROL			
8	8 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>				
9	, , - · · ····				
10	·· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11	,				
12	1,				
13 14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
15		/-03511A-14-0304			
16) 200121101	-03311A-14-0304			
17	, ,	ITIES, INC.'S			
18	,	•			
19	, ,				
20	20 AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER) APPLICATION	TO INTERVENE			
21	,				
22					
23					
24	Applicant Brooke Utilities, Inc. ("Brooke") filed its Applicat	ion for Intervention			
25	through a Motion to Intervene (the "Application") dated October 13, 2014 with Docke				
26	Control of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"). The Application was				
27	Docketed by the Commission on October 20, 2014.				
28	On October 28, 2014 Navajo Water Co., Inc. ("Navajo") filed its objection to				
29	29 Brooke's Application.				
30	On November 3, 2014 Brooke filed its Response to Navajo's Objections.				
31	On November 7, 2014 the Commission's Administrative Law Judge filed a				
32	Procedural Order to the Docket requiring Brooke to file any supplemental information to				
33	its Application by November 17, 2014.				
34	34				
35	35				

I. REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Brooke did not receive the Procedural Order until November 13, 2013 (see Exhibit 2 I). Only by viewing the on-line Docket earlier on the same morning did it learn of the 3 filing requirement. Undoubtedly, the mail delivery was delayed by the occurrence of the 4 Veteran's Day holiday two days previously. In that Brooke will be filing its supplemental 5 Application from out of state it requires completion of the supplemental Application by 6 November 14, 2014 for timely overnight delivery by the required date. Accordingly, 7 Brooke respectfully requests a revised supplemental Application filing deadline of 8 November 24, 2014 which will provide Brooke with sufficient additional time to make 9

the necessary additional argument discussed in the Procedural Order.

12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

Brooke Utilities, Inc.

Robert T. Hardcastle

In Propia Persona

14

15

13

1

16

17 18

19 this

ORIGINAL and 13 copies filed this day November 2014, with:

20

21 **Docket Control**

- 22 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 23 1200 West Washington St.
- 24 **Phoenix, AZ 85007**

2526

And copies mailed to the following:

27

- 28 Teena Jibilian, Administrative Law Judge
- 29 HEARING DIVISION
- 30 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 31 1200 West Washington St.
- 32 Phoenix, AZ 85007

33

- 34 Brian E. Smith
- 35 Legal Division

Arizona Corporation Commission 1 2 1200 West Washington St. 3 Phoenix, AZ 85007 4 5 Jay Shapiro 6 Fennemore Craig 7 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 9 10 Jason Williamson JW Water Holdings LLC 11 12 P.O. Box 200505 13 Denver, CO 80220 14 15 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division 16 17 Arizona Corporation Commission 18 1200 West Washington St. 19 Phoenix, AZ 85007 20 21 Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division 22 Arizona Corporation Commission 23 24 1200 West Washington St. 25 Phoenix, AZ 85007 26/ 2 **7** 2 **8** By: Robert P. Hardcastle 29 Brooke Utilities, Inc. 30 31

EXHIBIT I

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 COMMISSIONERS 3 POR STUMP, Chair

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS

NOV 132014

BOB BURNS

BROOKE UTILITIES

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR NAVAJO WATER COMPANY FOR THE APPROVAL OF A RATE ADJUSTMENT.

DOCKET NO. W-03515A-14-0304

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 15, 2014, Navajo Water Company ("Navajo") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a rate increase, based on a test year ended June 30, 2014.

On August 26, 2014 and September 10, 2014, Navajo filed supplements to the application.

On September 10, 2014, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Letter of Deficiency.

On September 30, 2014, Navajo filed an additional supplement to its application.

On October 10, 2014, Staff issued a letter indicating that Navajo's application had met the sufficiency requirements of Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-103 and classifying Navajo as a Class D utility.

On October 20, 2014, Brooke Utilities Inc. ("Brooke") filed an Application for Intervention. Brooke claims that it has a direct and substantial interest in this rate proceeding because it is a party to a transactional agreement with Navajo's parent JW Water Holdings, LLC. Brooke asserts that Navajo's filing of this rate application does not comply with the terms of a May 31, 2013, agreement between JW Water Holdings, LLC and Brooke. Brooke contends that Navajo's rate application "may affect that Agreement" and that "the possible implications of this rate application to Brooke places this Application at unknown risk."

¹ Navajo's rate case application indicates that JW Water Holdings, LLC purchased Navajo from Brooke on June 1, 2013, and that JW Water Holdings, LLC owns 100 percent of the shares of Navajo.

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

² A.A.C. R14-3-105.

On October 28, 2014, Navajo filed its Opposition to Brooke's Application for Intervention. Navajo states that the May 31, 2013 agreement Brooke refers to in the Application to Intervene is a Stock Purchase Agreement entered into by and among Brooke, Navajo, Tonto Basin Water Co., Inc., Payson Water Co., Inc., and JW Water Holdings, LLC. Navajo asserts that the purpose of its rate application is to determine the fair value of its property and to set rates, and that the May 31, 2013 Stock Purchase Agreement between Navajo and Brooke is not at issue in this rate proceeding and is beyond the scope of this rate proceeding. Navajo contends that Brooke lacks any real interest in this rate proceeding, and that allowing Brooke to intervene would unduly broaden and delay this rate case proceeding. Navajo requests that Brooke's Application to Intervene be denied.

On November 3, 2014, Brooke filed its Response to Navajo's Opposition. Brooke reiterates its claim that Navajo's rate filing contravenes the terms of the May 31, 2013 Stock Purchase Agreement, and claims that Navajo made the rate case filing with knowledge of Brooke's objection to the test year ending June 30, 2014. Brooke contends that its intervention request "should be approved in order for it to determine the extent of the impact of JW Water's failure to abide by the terms and conditions of the Agreement related to a properly negotiated test year," and states that "in some sense a replacement application that conforms to the requirements of the Agreement is not unreasonable." Brooke argues that it should be granted intervention "in order for it to determine the extent of the impact" of the test year Navajo used in its rate case filing, and that if it is not granted intervention in this rate proceeding, Brooke may proceed "in a manner that best protects its interests."

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure provide that persons who are directly and substantially affected by Commission proceedings must secure an order from the Commission or presiding officer granting leave to intervene before being allowed to participate, and that no application for leave to intervene shall be granted where by so doing the issues theretofore presented will be unduly broadened, except upon leave of the Commission.²

Brooke does not claim to be a shareholder, or a customer, of Navajo. Brooke's Application to Intervene and its Response allude to a possible, but unknown, impact to Brooke stemming from

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION HEARING DIVISION 1200 W. WASHINGTON PHOENIX, AZ: 85007

Hasler

11/07/2014 US POSITAGE

\$00.46 FIRST-CLASS MAIL PRSRT

ZIP 85007 011D12602577

NOV 132014

Brooke Utilities, Inc. PO BOX 82218 Bakersfield, CA 93380